# Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

09/974.882 NOLAN ET AL.

Examiner Art Unit

Daniel M Sullivan 1636

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Dan Chambers, appicant's representative. (1) Daniel M Sullivan, examiner. (4) James Nolan, appicant's representative (2) James Ketter, examiner. Date of Interview: 09 September 2003. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative e) No. Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: C aim(s) discussed: 21 and 24. Identification of prior art discussed: Strauss and Jaenisch; Cernomordik et al... Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h)  $\square$  N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS

GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See

Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

JAMES KETTER
Examiner's sign BRIMARY EXAMMER

Allo in and trademark Office

### Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

#### Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713,04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

Line process of as the substance of any table to be upday conference or deligner or otherway with regard to an application must be made of record in the substance or otherwise that a present with the even nor was real at the other lew.

#### Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph d

standard there reconsideration is requested in the violan interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as a specified in a specified in §§ 1.11. 1.135 (35 U.S.C. 132).

#### 37 CFR §1.2. Business to be transacted in writing

The personal attendance of fundermail Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorners or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to a construction or conferstanding an olid on to which there is disagreement or doubt.

----

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself impromptete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the Contents' section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)

Hame of applicant.

Name of examiner

Date of interview

Type of interview (telephonic video-conference, or personal)

Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)

An in fication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted

An identification of the specific prior art discussed

An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.

The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted.
- 2) ar identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed.
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner.
- 5) a prief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner.
  - The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully
  - describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuas ve to the examiner.)
- Final general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 70 of appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

## Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication. Interview Record OK' on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Application No. 09/974,882

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representatives initiated the interview to discuss the outstanding 35 U.S.C. §35 U.S.C. §103 rejection. Applicant's representative pointed to several passages in the Chernomordik et al. reference indicating that the increased association of liposomes with cells disclosed therein was due to binding and not internalization of the liposomes. Applicant's representative also pointed out that the lipid formulation used by Chernomordik et al. is substantially different from the lipid formulation taught by Strauss and Jaenisch. Given the teachings of Chernomordik et al. regarding the lack of liposome uptake and the differences in the lipid delivery agents used by Chernomordik et al. and Strauss and Jaenisch, Applicant's representative argues that the skilled artisan would not have been motivated to combine the teachings of the cited art. The examiners agreed to consider these arguments in a response to the outstanding Office Action..